

#6

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/897,236	Applicant(s) E. NAKASHIO, ET AL	
	Examiner A. J. HEINZ	Art Unit 2653	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) A. J. HEINZ (3) _____

(2) MR. D. ROZENBLAT (4) _____

Date of Interview 25 Aug 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:
Radon

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Discussed changes to claims including the addition of the inductive portion of the head and/or changes to the material composition of the layers or dimensioning of same.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

A. J. HEINZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2653



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required